

Some remarks from an industrial partner perspective

11 November, 2009, General Assembly, Rome Stefane Fermigier, Nuxeo



Use Cases / Requirements Gathering

- A first list of requirements has been collected
- We need to double check that we're not missing anything important
- Some of the current functional requirements are still vague
 - "We need to be able to issue SPARQL queries"
 - "We need to provide reasoning services"
- Should derive from actual, concrete use / business cases
- What are the leaders doing? Where's the market moving?
 - | Thu/Fri workshop should tell us more
 - Need executive level stories, à la SWEO use cases (w3c.org)



The IKS Stack vs. modular services

- | The stack should stand on its own (from storage to UI) for demo purposes
- | Should also be breakable into atomic components / services to enhance existing CMS without major disruption
- Focus should be on the "semantic" (middle) part
 - Ex: Nuxeo has its own repository (can be enhanced to provide additional services needed by IKS, e.g. a triple store), its own GUIs (same)
 - Industrial partners should provide early feedback on how they will integrate the stack in their products





11/10/09

(cc) 🛈 🗐



- Not all the partners are Java based, some are
- Java libraries vs. language- (actually, platform-) agnostic services (HTTP, probably RESTful, with XML or JSON payloads)

Ex: CMIS

- Can be accessed as a web service (via RESTful, atompub interface, or SOAP)
- I The Chemistry project (Apache, led by Day and Nuxeo) also provides a Java API conforming to the CMIS model
- Can be used for both in-process and inter-process API calls
- | Integration / extension points must be clearly identified
 - Ex: OSGi, UIMA, CMIS, JSON/HTTP, SCA, AMQP, rule engine, scripting...







- Will the IKS developers only provide "glue" on top of existing open source technologies?
- Or contribute to existing OSS projects?
- Or create new open source software because our needs can't currently be satisfied by existing projects?
 - I Is there a need for deep algorithmic work? Which ones?
- | We should also keep our options open wrt which specific implementation we will eventually choose
 - | Ex: "triple store" vs. "Jena" or "Sesame"
 - But not at the expense of another layer of useless indirection
 - I expect everyone will want a different UI



Non-functional, business-critical requirements (1/1)

- Make sure that customer value creation drives the use cases
- Quality
 - Components need to be production-ready when done
 - Solution: common coding guidelines, lots of tests, continuous integration, external scrutiny (=> low barrier to entry for external observers)
 - | TCK (compliance tests) for alternative components?
- | Performance / scalability
 - Ensure that the stack can manage common workloads for our customer projects, not just "toy" datasets (some customers, e.g. AFP, can provide real datasets)
 - Special attention to interprocess communication (e.g. HTTP calls)



Non-functional, business-critical requirements (2/2)

Licensing

- Make sure that both open source and proprietary vendors can benefit from the stack
- Apache or BSD licensed components preferred, LGPL if necessary, GPL excluded

HR / training

- Make sure that the IKS stack can be used by Joe Average Programmer, not just PhDs in semantic technologies (or Hindley-Milner type systems, etc.)
- | Same for maintenance
- Speaking of which: who will support the stack once the project is over?
 - 80% of the effort on a project is spent on maintenance



Landscape is moving

GGG / linked open data movement gaining steam

- Business opportunities
- I Technical challenges (sheer amount of data / knowledge)
- Twitter
- NOSQL movement unknown 6 months ago, now has 50+ products
 - Some of them are related to what we do (e.g. graph and document databases)
 - Ex: neo4j, Cassandra, CouchDB, MongoDB, Hadoop
- New languages (ex: Scala, Clojure...)
- Multi-core / multi-threaded computing
 - New (or forgotten) programming paradigms becoming fashionable: MapReduce, functional programming, actors...







- According to planning, academic developers will work on the stack in 2010, and industrial partner start testing it in 2011 (or late 2010)
 - | That's waterfall, and it's bound to fail
 - | We need to be able to provide feedback much earlier

| When will coding begin?

- Even without a clear global vision, it might be useful to start working on some useful subproject (ex: semantic search) to get the project moving
- | We also need to match our workload to our actual capability
- Focus on low-hanging fruits and providing business value continuously (cf. Scrum, Kanban)



Conclusion / Recommendations

- | Need for high level business stories (not just use cases) to sell the ROI both to end customers and to CMS providers
- | Get quickly started on a (throwaway) prototype stack built with existing bricks and duct tape
- Don't wait for an "alpha" (06/2010) version to start collecting feedback
- | Focus on highest value stories (e.g. semantic search) to showcase delivered value
- Set up collaborative development process / framework (code repository, issue tracker, continuous integration) quickly